Board Legislation – Stakeholder Information Request

Information Request	AIR	WASTE	WATER
Permit Processing	Minors w/ hearing:	Solid Waste:	VPDES:
Times (average or	$\approx 71 \text{ days}$		
range) for Individual		Landfill:	Issuance/Modification:
Permits	Minors w/o hearing:	\approx 335-690 days (Part A)	\approx 185 days w/o hearing -
	\approx 34 days	\approx 420-510 days (Part B)	350 days w/ hearing and Board
Note: These are			decision
estimates and based on	State Major:	Treatment & Storage:	
data available which	≈ 71 days	$\approx 510-690 \text{ days}$	Renewal:
varies by program.			≈ 185 days w/o hearing -
These estimates are	PSD/NNSR:	Hazardous Waste:	515 days w/ hearing and Board
based on the period	≈ 212 days	G. 175	decision
from submission of a	TOTAL N.	Storage and Treatment:	TVD A
complete application	Title V:	$\approx 175 - 255 \text{ days}$	<u>VPA:</u>
to permit issuance and	≈ 1215 days	T	≈ 150 days w/o hearing
actual review times		<u>Transportation:</u>	+ 90-150 additional days w/hearing
may vary depending	n in :	$\approx 2 - 10 \text{ days}$	and Board decision
on issues raised	Board Decision: Example 1: The CPV Warren PSD	Emanagement	
through technical evaluation and	<u> </u>	Emergency:	Cusumd Water With drawals
discussions with	permit went before the board in 2005.	$\approx 5 - 10 \text{ days}$	Ground Water Withdrawal: ≈ 450 days w/o hearing
permittee.	The CPV Warren permit was for a natural gas fired combined cycle	Land Disposal:	+ 90-180 additional days w/ hearing
permittee.	turbine located within 7 km of the	$\approx 235 - 385 \text{ days}$	and Board decision
	Shenandoah National Park. The	~ 233 - 363 days	and Board decision
	permit was issued in 322 days.		
	permit was issued in 322 days.	Note 1: The Board has no role in waste	VWP:
	Example 2: In December 1995, the	permits, so there is no information about	$\approx 98 \text{ days}$
	minor NSR CaseLin Medical Waste	the difference in times for review	+ 90-150 additional days w/ hearing
	Incinerator permit went before the		and Board decision
	board. The board requested to see the	Note 2: Time frames are presented as	
	permit because it was the first permit	ranges rather than averages because there	
	to be issued under the new medical	are relatively few permit actions in this	
	waste incinerator rules. The permit	category.	

Information Request	AIR	WASTE	WATER
	issuance of the permit was delayed by about a month until the Board could meet. Example 4: September 11, 1997 – The Board authorized the issuance of a PSD permit to Merck. The Department presented this permit action to the Board in conjunction with the approval of a variance (which is a regulation and therefore not delegated to the Department) to the air regulations for Merck's Project XL. Example 3: The Mirant SO2 SOP is the only permit that has been developed and issued at the direction of the Air Board. Because there was no application to start the permit processing clock, it is difficult to compare this situation to others. The Board published options to the public regarding various permitting options on April 21, 2007 and a permit was issued on June 1, 2007.	Note 3: With respect to solid waste permits, these estimates may differ from information provided in the Permit Fees Program Evaluation report (Jan. 2006) http://www.deq.virginia.gov/regulations/reports.html as the information provided here is based on more recent data and attempted to capture the period of time from application submission to actual permit issuance rather than hours/days worked solely on a particular permit.	
2. How many individual permit holders are there in Virginia (excluding general permits)?	≈ 2700 permitted sources (Note: 295 are Title V sources; 248 have state operating permits)	Solid Waste: 421 permits Hazardous Waste: Storage, treatment and disposal facilities: 41	VPDES: 1090 permits VPA: 180 permits Ground Water Withdrawal: 240 permits held by 157

Information Request	AIR	WASTE	WATER
		HW transporters: 296	facilities/owners. VWP (2000-06): 353 permits
3. How many new permits have been	New Permits:	Solid Waste: New permits: 1	VPDES:
issued over the last 5 years? How many permit renewals have been issued over the	Minor: 2217 State Major:	Renewals: No renewals, permits are for life of	New permits: ≈ 100 individual permits Renewal: ≈ 990
last 5 years?	24 <u>SOP:</u> 319	facility. Major Amendments: Part B – 40 Groundwater – 94	VPA: New: 6 Renewal: 25
	PSD/NNSR: 25 Title V:	Hazardous Waste: New Permits: 9 (not including transporter or	Ground Water Withdrawal: New: 105 Renewal: 7
	159 Renewals (Title V only): 84	emergency permits) Renewals: 12 (not including transporter or	<u>VWP (2000-06):</u> New: 400 Renewal: No data.
4. How many permits	During the past 5 years, 2	emergency permits) Solid Waste:	VPDES:
have been rejected over the past 5 years (including denials, tentative/proposed	applications/requests have been denied: In FY2000, a request for fuel change	During the past 5 years, one application for a change in a landfill permit owner was denied by DEQ.	The Board has denied 2 permits, one in 2004 and one in 2006; 1 permit was withdrawn in response to agency feedback.
denials and withdrawn based on agency	without modifying existing permit was denied.	DEQ does not have data regarding the number of solid waste permit applications	<u>VPA:</u> No permits have been rejected

Information Request	AIR	WASTE	WATER
comments) and the type of permit activity proposed for each?	In FY2005, a request for rescission of a SOP (based on source arguing it was too small to require a permit) was denied because recission of the synthetic minor SOP would have required issuance of a Title V permit. Several permit applications/requests have been withdrawn during the past 5 fiscal years (2000-06):	that have been withdrawn. Hazardous waste: Since 1993, only 1 hazardous waste permit has been denied: A hazardous waste transporter permit - denied in 1995. This denial was not appealed.	during the past 5 years. Ground Water Withdrawal: No GWW permits have been denied, tentatively denied or withdrawn based on agency comments during the last 5 years. Several applications have been significantly modified based upon comments and review by staff.
	PSD/NSR: 14 State Major: 2 State Minor: 210 Title V: 52 SOP: 36		WYP: During the past 5 years, 3 VWP permits have been denied, 6 have been tentatively denied and 3 withdrawn.
5. Were there any appeals of these denials (#8 above) and, if so, was the denial upheld?	The denials described above were not appealed. A few air permits (4) have been the subject of appeals challenging certain provisions of the permits. Of those 4, only 1 permit appeal went to hearing	Solid waste: Since 1993, 9 landfill permits have been appealed through the administrative appeal process and 6 landfill permits have been the subject of a judicial appeal.	VPDES: Since 1993, 11 permits have been appealed and of those, only 2 went to hearing (the rest were resolved without litigation). VPA: No administrative appeals
	and the challenged provisions were upheld by the court.	Hazardous waste: No hazardous waste permits have been appealed administratively since 1993 (appeals to address permit conditions have been for judicial review and made to Circuit Court, but as indicated above, none were for denials).	during the last 5 years. Ground Water Withdrawal: No appeals in last 5 years. VWP: There have been at least two appeals and reconsiderations during the last 5 years. The Board reconsidered and revised its decision in those cases.

Information Request	AIR	WASTE	WATER
6. How frequently	See attachment.	See attachment.	See attachment.
does the board vary			
from agency			
recommendations (and			
in what direction)?			
7. Potential workload	A potential conflict may arise if the appeals board were given authority to make binding decisions rather than non-binding		
associated with the	decisions because the interests of the appeal board and DEQ would not be the same, so there may be an issue with respect		
appeals board v.	to which entity the AG's office would represent.		
current structure?	•	•	
Impact if the appeals	DEQ is working with the OAG to develop a fiscal impact assessment of the additional work associated with the appeals		
board makes binding	board versus the current structure.		
decisions v. non-			
binding decisions?			